

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/318,249	05/25/1999	WILLIAM J. FURNAS	5298-18	1103
7:	590 10/22/2007		EXAMINER	
SPENCER T.				
EMHART GLASS MANUFACTURING INC. 89 PHOENIX AVENUE, P.O. BOX 1229			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ENFIELD CT				

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 4				TH				
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7 6 7				
		09/318,249	FURNAS, WILLI	FURNAS, WILLIAM J.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Thanh X. Luu	2878					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
The Appeal Brief filed on 6/12/07, 6/13/07 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.								
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this !	Notification, whichever is I					
1.	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.							
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).							
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).							
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).							
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))							
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).							
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).							
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).							
9.	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).							
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):						

B: Appellant should provide a CLEAN copy of the claims in the Claims Appendix.
C: Appellant should also remove the prior art references in the Evidence Appendix because (1) it is for the Examiner to fix in the Examiner's Answer and (2) Fukuchi was not relied upon by the Examiner.

/Thanh X Luu/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2878

two briefs are different.

A: Appellant filed TWO revised Appeal briefs. It is unclear which one Appellant intended the Board to consider. The